UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,145	12/23/2005	Kenichi Morimoto	0951-0178PUS1	5521
	7590 03/12/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	OH 374 22040 0747	HA, NGUYEN Q		
FALLS CHURG	CH, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2854		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/12/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/562,145	MORIMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	'Wyn' Q. HA		2854	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she	eet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CC	NDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendi eal (with appeal fee) ir	ment, affidavit	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 1 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the ater than SIX MONTHS f b). ONLY CHECK BOX	from the mailing	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the correspo hortened statutory perio	nding amount of the design of	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR	(41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti	nsideration and/or sea w);	arch (see NOT	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		-		
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>				·
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			·	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			t be entered and an ex	ріапаціоп ог
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections	under appea	ıl and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the o	claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the	application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper N	o(s)		
	/Jill E. Culle	r/		
	Primary Exa	miner, Art U	nit 2854	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The Office Action of 10/28/2008 has already discussed and rejected the previously presented independent claims 2, 4 and 6. The Office action also discussed and rejected the following features of the previously presented claims 8, 11 and 12: "the image forming apparatus further comprises a paper detector that detects a leading edge or trailing edge of the recording paper" and "when image information is performed in a case that multi-feeding has occurred, a reference for judging the occurrence of defects based on the detection information of the leading or trailing edge of the recording paper from the paper detector is changed to a reference taking into consideration the extent of multi-feeding." As such, the presently presented independent claims 2, 4 and 6 which include the mentioned features are NOT deemed allowable.

Further consideration and/or search would be needed for examination of the feature "a reference time that is used for judging a jammed state" which Applicant intends to further claim, as presented in Applicant's remarks and in the new claims 19-21. The new claims 19-21, in their present forms, are also not deemed allowable, since they depend on the mentioned independent claims 2, 4 and 6.

It appears that the independent claims 2, 4 and 6 would need to include at least said feature "a reference time that is used for judging a jammed state" in order to truely advance examination of the application.

NQH